

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,813	07/09/2003	Richard Lee Dodson	M4155.030	1447
23875	7590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER	
MOLLY D MCKAY, PC			ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE	
3207 E 22NI	- -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TULSA, OK 74114-1823			3676	TAI EK NOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V				
Office Action Summer	10/615,813	DODSON, RICHARD LEE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
٠.	Gary Estremsky	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	<u>ine 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1.3.4 and 7-14 is/are pending in the a	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 7-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>3,4,7-9 and 11-14</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 10</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/9/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/615,813

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 4,848,813 to Fildan.

Fildan '813 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "handle portion" - as shown in Fig 3, 'removably engaged' - via threads 7, "with a tongue portion" - 8,

As regards "means for biasing" limitation in claim 1, since the structure disclosed as performing the function (including "compressible arm") is recited in the claim, the limitation does not invoke 35 USC 112, 6th paragraph. See MPEP 2181-2185.

Fildan '813 discloses a "compressible arm" - 6,6a.

Regarding claims 1 and 10, it is acknowledged that the tongue and handle of Fildan '813 are illustrated in combination with a handle/spindle assembly and door. However, it's noted that the 'tongue' and 'handle' (as identified above) is nonetheless a distinct assembly in and of itself. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that it must inherently be a separate unit prior to being inserted into a bore in a door's edge (as a first step in installing the unit for its intended use with a door), and prior to the handle/spindle assembly being inserted into the door's handle/spindle bore (as a next

Application/Control Number: 10/615,813

Art Unit: 3676

step in installing the unit for its intended use with a door). Much like the present invention, prior to assembly with a door, the unit "consists of" no other elements besides the 'handle' and 'tongue' (as identified above) whereby the teaching of the prior art fully anticipates the "consisting of" limitation. The "long side" and "angled side" of the tongue are illustrated in Fig 1 for example.

As regards claim 10, Fildan '813 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "handle portion" - as shown in Fig 6, "removably engaged" - as above, "with a tongue portion" - 8, "compressible arm" - either of 206,206a, a "ring attached to said compressible arm" - 220. Functional recitation of "for ease in grasping,..." does not further define any particular structure of the ring that can be relied upon for patentably defining from the prior art. See MPEP 2114. Part 220 is inherently capable of being more easily (compared to 206a for example) and twisted/pulled to open the tongue. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). The law of anticipation requires that a distinction be made between the invention described or taught and the invention claimed. It does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches. Assuming that a reference is properly "prior art," it is only necessary that the claims under consideration "read on" something disclosed in the reference, i.e., all limitations of the claim are found in the reference, or "fully met" by it. Kalman v. Kimberly-Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 789. Claims

in a pending application should be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3, 4, 7-9, and 11-14 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Since the structure of the prior art inherently forms a sub-assembly that reads on "consisting of" limitation, prior art rejection can not be withdrawn.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 703 308-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Estremsky
Primary Examine
Art Unit 3676